A Newsletter of Finance Lab



um -

Indian Institute of Management Calcutta



Prof. Ashok Banerjee

Prof. Partha Ray

Dr. Golaka C. Nath

that can offer early warning that a particular security is about to be overwhelmed by large buy or sell orders.

Probability of Informed Trading (PIN)

The differences in the probability of informed trading across stocks refer to the related level of information asymmetry. The existence of information asymmetry among market participants provides opportunity for profitable trading opportunity mostly in illiquid stocks. Uninformed traders would want to learn from the informed about the true value of the asset, regulators are interested in the evidence of insider trading, and the academics are interested in the behavior of the market participants and the process by which private information is incorporated into prices³. In EKOP⁴, an information event is assumed to occur once per day and the maximum likelihood estimation technique is used to estimate the relevant parameters, including the probability of informed trading, given actual numbers of buys and sells. EKOP compute Probability of Informed Trades using a) how frequently new information i.e. news based event occurs and b) how large is the fraction of orders from informed traders once news based event occurs. On any day, the model assumes the arrival of uninformed buyers and uninformed sellers are determined by independent Poisson process. The model also assumes that the arrival of news to one trader at a time, and his subsequent arrival at the market, also follows a Poisson process with an arrival rate . PIN is given by the sum of probabilities that 'buy' is information based and 'sell' is also information based. PIN is estimated using the following expression:

PIN = ----, where = Probability of occurrence of an information event, = Rate of informed trade arrival, and = Rate of uninformed buy and sell trade arrivals.

The problem with this model is that it requires estimation of too many parameters and it can be used at low frequency, which defeats the very purpose of real-time and continuous surveillance. In order to overcome these problems, David Easley, Marcos Lopez de Prado and Maureen O'Hara proposed, in the year 2010, a high-frequency estimate of PIN, which they denominated VPIN (Volume Synchronized Probability of Informed Trading)⁵. VPIN measure is based on volume imbalance and trade intensity. The biggest advantage of this approach is that unlike the previous approach, the intermediate estimation of non-observable parameters using difficult numerical methods is not required. This approach can be implemented in real time for continuous mon

5

Table: VPIN Estimates

Company	Sector	1/6/2010	2/6/2010	7/6/2010	8/6/2010	9/6/2010	10/6/2010

Note: Computations done by Mr. Souma Mazumdar, System & Quantitative Analyst, Finance Lab

The figures in the table clearly demonstrate that certain stocks possessed larger information asymmetry. The PIN estimates of Shriram Transport Finance, Munappuram Finance and Ultratech are significantly higher than other stocks. These high-PIN stocks would indicate higher informed trading during this period. For example, in case of Ultratech (and a few other cement companies) a probe initiated by the Competition Commission of India (CCI) revealed recently that these companies did form cartel in 2010 and extracted abnormal profits. The company, however, has challenged the allegation. This information was not known to everyone.

Trading strategies have been devised based on PIN⁷. Studies have shown that a zero-investment portfolio which is size neutral, but long in high pin stocks and short in low pin stocks earns a significant abnormal return.

Lee-Ready Algorithm: If a trade takes place at a price which is greater than the mid-quote (average of bid and ask prices), it is classified as a *buy*. If a trade takes place at a price which is lower than the mid-quote, it is classified as a *sell*. Tick test has been used for classification of trades that take place at the midpoint of bid and ask prices.

Factoring Information Into Returns,



Source: Global Financial Stability Report, IMF, October 2012.

10

Traditionally, after every crisis, there is clamour for renewed regulation and for questioning the established wisdom. Global financial crisis, which has questioned a number of orthodoxies / settled issues in economics a

Typically, SDLs of many States are bunched together for issuance as individual States raise a small amount vis-à-vis the conventional borrowing by Government of India. Most of the auctions see the low spreads at which SDL are raised by various States but still a significant portion of debt is raised by States at market-related yields rates rather than at administered rates. In a recent auction on Sep 18, 2012, about 9400crores were raised by 12 States. The States paid an average coupon of 8.88% while Gujarat State could raise funds at a very low rate of 8.6805% while West Bengal paid 8.9074%. The average spread of SDLs over 10-year Government securities works out to be about 60bps as on Sep 18, 2012.

		Table – 2: SDI	Ls issued on Sep	18, 2012		
No	State	Notified Amount (`Crore)	Amount Accepted (`Crore)	Tenor (Year)	Cut-off Yieldff	

Secondary Market: SDLs constitute a very small percentage of total secondary market deals and the situation has not improved since the States started borrowing after the debt swap scheme. Though SDLs provide for higher yield vis-à-vis the comparable Government securities, these securities are still not popular in secondary market trading. Most of the secondary market trading in SDLs happens in recently issued SDLs and very little amount of liquidity exists in older SDLs. This pattern need to reverse if a reasonably good secondary market is to develop. Hence, it is required to consider active or passive consolidation of SDLs of States along with re-issuance of the SDLs on regular basis.

Table – 4: Security Type Analysis - Market Share (%)							
	Constituent Deals			Market			
Year	GSEC	TBILL	SDL	GSEC	TBILL	SDL	
2007-08	81.03	14.80	4.17	88.75	10.39	0.86	
2008-09	83.83	13.85	2.32	90.52	7.89	1.59	
2009-10	72.26	23.38	4.35	85.14	12.47	2.39	
2010-11	73.39	21.33	5.28	88.90	9.58	1.52	