

**A Study of Formation and Management of Strategic Alliances Between Indian and Foreign Firms**

**Vijaysinh Shrimantrao Gambhire  
Indian Institute of Management Calcutta  
1997**

**Thesis Advisory Committee:**

Prof. B N Srivastava (thesis supervisor), Indian Institute of Management Calcutta

Prof. Amit Jyoti Sen, Indian Institute of Management Calcutta

Prof. Ranjan Das, Indian Institute of Management Calcutta

## Thesis Summary

In recent years, the study of strategic alliances has attracted much attention of management researchers. The role of strategic alliances has become very significant in any organization's strategy, particularly in the face of intense competition and rising trend towards globalization. A framework to study strategic alliances is developed and evaluated in this work using in-depth analysis of five alliances between Indian and foreign firms.

The extant research is characterized by differences amongst various theoretical perspectives used to study the phenomenon of strategic alliances. The literature overview identified important factors pertaining to pre-alliance considerations, formation of alliance, and implementation of alliance. Various theoretical perspectives-often conflicting with each other-were examined to highlight the debates in the study of alliances.

Th thesis proposes an integrative framework to study alliances. The *phases framework* sees alliance as a process belonging to "teleological process family" (Van de Ven, 1992) where the focal organization is purposive towards its objectives and uses the alliance to achieve them. A process is seen as a sequence of events or activities describing how things change over time. The framework adopts a single-partner perspective (the focal organization's point of view), which enables examining the role of alliance in the focal organization's overall strategy. Since each partner approaches the alliance with its own set of objectives, it is argued, the alliance is successful only if the focal organization realizes its objectives, irrespective of whether the objectives of partner organizations(s) are realized or not.

organization may even decide against the alliance if negotiations do not result in a deal of its convenience. In the management phase, the commitments made are implemented, the activities are performed, and the payoffs realized. The outcome is evaluated by organization vis-a-vis its objective for alliance. Depending on the organization's priorities in the light of changed set of capabilities and the environment, the alliance may be continued or terminated. It is argued that activities within the alliance (managerial action) would significantly influence the development of alliance more than any other factor. The intent and capabilities of the partner-determining its objectives, and the environment-consisting of "sponsor elements" whose sustained support is vital for organization's survival, also influence the development of alliance. The outcome of alliance is seen as a continuous one which constantly modifies the organization's capabilities and hence influences the future managerial action. The framework is presented in the form of ten propositions.

The study involved an exploratory analysis of alliances between Indian and foreign firms. Case study method suited the purpose because it helped examining the process-related factors. The five cases were selected from Indian consumer goods industry, which witnessed a flurry of alliance activity following liberalization of Indian economy. The data, collected through the interviews, published sources and company records, are presented in the form of case descriptions.

The five cases were presented to fifteen judges who were asked to identify factors which influenced the development of the alliance in each case. The findings were used to ascertain whether the case data supported the framework. These findings were analyzed by comparing and contrasting the data from the cases in order to test the validity of the framework. The findings supported eight propositions and could not conclusively support one proposition. One proposition could not be tested at all. The propositions supported by the findings are retained for future research.

The study, though exploratory in nature, points out some important issues regarding strategic alliances. Firstly, the single-partner view, since it focuses on the role of alliance in the organization's overall strategy, better explains the organization's approach towards alliance.

Secondly, the study reveals that alliance is more dynamic than as envisaged by some of the earlier studies. The outcome constantly modifies the capabilities of focal organization, which in turn influence the future managerial action. Thirdly, the findings highlight the importance of the activities within the alliance (managerial action) which enable the organization to appropriate payoffs from the alliance, and to a large extent, irrespective of the alliance structure. The managerial action are particularly crucial, as found in the study, when objectives are *tacit*. Tacit objectives, like transfer of management skills, learning, exposure to various practices etc. require purposive managerial action and cannot be ensured by structure of the alliance. Fourthly, the findings point out how the intent of the organization, which guides the overall decision-making within the organization and also guides the managerial action within the alliance, significantly